Application No.: 09/474,404

Office Action Dated: February 18, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The following is being submitted in response to the Office Action issued on February 18, 2004 (Paper No. 11) in connection with the above-identified patent application, and is being filed within the three-month shortened statutory period set for a response by the Office Action.

Claims 1 and 3-6 remain pending in the present application, and stand rejected.. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims consistent with the following remarks.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 4-6 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being obvious over Merchant et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,581,366) in view of Kane et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,315,635). Applicants respectfully traverse the § 103(a) rejection of such claims 1 and 4-6.

Claim 1 as currently presented recites a cradle and a portable communications device (PCD), where the PCD includes an externally accessible port from which communications data is accessible. The cradle is sized to accept and in fact accepts the portable communications device (PCD) therein and has a port connector coupling with the externally accessible port of the accepted PCD, such that the externally accessible port provides the cradle access to communications data from the PCD.

A network connector of the cradle couples the cradle and by extension the accepted PCD to a second network, and a network communications device of the cradle interfaces between the port connector and the network connector, where the network communications device is a modern. The cradle is constructed such that a positive connection between the externally accessible port of the PCD and the port connector is

DOCKET NO.: BELL-0008/99157 PATENT

Application No.: 09/474,404

Office Action Dated: February 18, 2004

achieved upon accepting the PCD in such cradle. The PCD is normally in radio communication with a first network but such PCD is currently out of radio communication with the first network, and the cradle couples the accepted and currently out of radio communication PCD to the first network by way of the second network.

The Merchant reference discloses a fax pager 12 that can be accepted into a cradle 13, whereby the fax pager 12 can receive data by way from a call terminal 28 by radio communication, and that when cradled can be employed to send a fax to the call terminal 28 by way of a network interface 24 which may be the public switched telephone network. However, and as the Examiner admits, the Merchant reference fails to disclose or even suggest that the cradle 13 can or should be employed to connect the fax pager 12 with the call terminal 28 by way of the network interface 24 when the fax pager 12 is out of radio communication with the call terminal 28.

Nevertheless, the Examiner continues by arguing that the Kane reference discloses or suggests such a feature.

The Kane reference discloses a message communication system whereby a pager 130 is in radio communication with a terminal 102 by way of a radio path B and may also be in wire-line communication with the terminal 102 by way of a wire path A. As set forth in the Kane reference, radio path B has the advantage that the pager 130 is not tethered to a particular wire-line, that the terminal need not maintain a particular channel for the pager 130, and that the bandwidth is higher. Similarly, wire path A has the advantage that the quality of the connection is high and that therefore less paging messages fail to go through. Column 7, lines 9-63. However, and significantly, the Kane reference utterly fails to recognize that the pager 130 should or could communicate with the terminal 28 102 by way

DOCKET NO.: BELL-0008/99157 PATENT

Application No.: 09/474,404

Office Action Dated: February 18, 2004

of the wire path A in the instance when the pager 130 is out of radio communication with the radio path B, such as is required by claim 1 of the present application. That is, the Kane system envisions that the wire path A operates *in conjunction* with the radio path A so that message throughput and quality is enhanced. In contradistinction, the Kane reference does not at all appreciate that the wire path A should or could be employed as an alternative to radio path A when such radio path A is not available.

Thus, neither the Merchant nor the Kane references suggest or disclose that a portable communications device (PCD) that is normally in radio communication with a first network employ a second network to communicate with the first network, as is required by claim 1, or that a cradle be employed to receive such PCD and as coupled the cradle effectuates communication with the first network by way of the second network when the PCD is out of radio communication with the first network, as is also required by claim 1. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that such references cannot be combined to make obvious claim 1 or any claims depending therefrom, including claims 4-6. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the § 103(a) rejection of claims 1 and 4-6.

The Examiner has rejected claim 3 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being obvious over the Merchant and Kane references and further in view of Vaid (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0091843). Applicants respectfully traverse the § 103(a) rejection of such claim 3.

Applicants respectfully submit that since independent claim 1 is unanticipated and has been shown to be non-obvious, then so too must all claims depending therefrom, including claim 3, be unanticipated and non-obvious, at least by their dependency

DOCKET NO.: BELL-0008/99157

Application No.: 09/474,404

Office Action Dated: February 18, 2004

PATENT

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the § 103(a) rejection of claim 3.

In view of the foregoing Amendment and Remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application, including claims 1 and 3-6, is in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

Registration No. 37,189

Steven H. Meyer

Date: July 12, 2004

Woodcock Washburn LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 568-3100 Facsimile: (215) 568-3439